arXiv:hep-ph/0201238v1 25 Jan 2002

Double Inflation in Supergravity and Primordial Black Holes Formation

Masahide Yamaguchi

Research Center for the Early Universe, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

In this talk we propose a natural double inflation model in supergravity. Chaotic inflation first takes place by virtue of the Nambu-Goldstone-like shift symmetry. During chaotic inflation, an initial value of second inflation (new inflation) is set, which is adequately far from the local maximum of the potential due to the small linear term in the Kähler potential. Then, primordial fluctuations within the present horizon scale may be produced during both inflations. Primordial fluctuations responsible for anisotropies of the cosmic microwave background radiation and the large scale structure are produced during chaotic inflation, while fluctuations on smaller scales are produced during new inflation. Because of the peculiar nature of new inflation, they can become as large as 10^{-1}-10^{-2}, which may lead to the formation of primordial black holes.

PRESENTED AT

COSMO-01
Rovaniemi, Finland,
August 29 – September 4, 2001

1 Introduction

Primordial black holes (PBHs) are very interesting. Because massive compact halo objects (MACHOs) are observed through gravitational microlensing effects [1], which are a possible candidate of dark matter. Furthermore, PBHs evaporating now may be a source of antiproton flux observed by the BESS experiment [2] or responsible for short gamma ray bursts (GRBs) [3]. PBHs may be realized in the context of double inflation. Though many double inflations have been considered, they are often discussed in a simple toy model with two massive scalar fields. In this talk, we propose a natural double inflation model in SUGRA, where chaotic inflation takes place first of all, during which an initial value of new inflation is dynamically set due to the supergravity effects. It can be adequately far from the local maximum of the potential due to the small linear term of the inflaton in the Kähler potential. Therefore primordial density fluctuations responsible for the observable universe can be attributed to both inflations, that is, chaotic inflation produces primordial fluctuations on large cosmological scales and new inflation on smaller scales.[*] The energy scale of new inflation becomes of the same order as the initial value of new inflation so that produced density fluctuations may become as large as the order of unity due to the peculiar nature of new inflation, which straightforwardly may lead to PBHs formation.

2 Model and dynamics

2.1 Model

In this section we propose a double inflation model in supergravity[4]. We introduce an inflaton chiral superfield $\Phi(x,\theta)$ and assume that the model, especially, Kähler potential $K(\Phi,\Phi^*,\ldots)$ is a function of $\Phi+\Phi^*$, which enables the imaginary part of the scalar component of the superfield Φ to take a value larger than the gravitational scale, and leads to chaotic inflation. Such a functional dependence of K can be attributed to the Nambu-Goldstone-like symmetry introduced in Ref. [5]. We also introduce a spurion superfield Ξ describing the breaking of the shift symmetry and extend the shift symmetry as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &\rightarrow \Phi + i\,CM_G,\\ \Xi &\rightarrow \left(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi+i\,CM_G}\right)^2\Xi,\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where C is a dimensionless real constant. Below, the reduced Planck scale M_G is set to be unity. Under this shift symmetry, the combination $\Xi\Phi^2$ is invariant. Inserting the vacuum value into the spurion field, $\langle \Xi \rangle = \lambda$, softly breaks the above shift symmetry. Here, the parameter λ is fixed with a value much smaller than unity representing the magnitude of breaking of the shift symmetry (1).

---

[*] In Ref. [6] the initial value of new inflation is so close to the local maximum of the potential for new inflation that the universe enters a self-regenerating stage [7, 8]. Therefore primordial fluctuations responsible for the observable universe are produced only during new inflation.

We further assume that in addition to the shift symmetry, the superpotential is invariant under the $\mathrm{U}(1)_R$ symmetry because it prohibits a constant term in the superpotential. The above Kähler potential is invariant only if the R-charge of Φ is zero. Then, we are compelled to introduce another supermultiplet $X(x, \theta)$ with its R-charge equal to two, which allows the linear term X in the superpotential. As shown later, for successful inflation, the absolute magnitude of the coefficient of the linear term X must be at most of the order of $|\lambda|$, which is much smaller than unity. Therefore in order to suppress the linear term of X in the superpotential, we introduce the Z_2 symmetry and a spurion field Π with odd charge under the Z_2 symmetry and zero R-charge. The vacuum value $\langle \Pi \rangle = v$ softly breaks the Z_2 symmetry and suppress the linear term of X. Charges for superfields are shown in table I.

After inserting vacuum values of spurion fields Ξ and Π, and neglecting higher order terms, the superpotential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} W &\simeq vX - \lambda X \Phi^2 && (2) \\ &= vX(1 - g\Phi^2) && (3) \end{aligned}$$

with $g \equiv \lambda / v$. Here we set both constants v and λ (g) to be real for simplicity.

The Kähler potential neglecting a constant term and higher order terms is given by

$$K = v_2(\Phi + \Phi^*) + \frac{1}{2}(\Phi + \Phi^*)^2 + XX^*. \tag{4}$$

Here $v_2 \sim v$ is a real constant representing the breaking effect of the Z_2 symmetry. Here and hereafter, we use the same characters for scalar with those for corresponding supermultiplets.

2.2 Dynamics

Decomposing the scalar field Φ into real and imaginary components

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\varphi + i\chi), \tag{5}$$

the Lagrangian density $L(\varphi, \chi, X)$ is given by

$$L(\varphi, \chi, X) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi + \partial_\mu X \partial^\mu X^* - V(\varphi, \chi, X) \tag{6}$$

with the potential $V(\varphi, \chi, X)$ given by

$$V(\varphi, \chi, X) = v^2 e^{-\frac{v_2^2}{2}} \exp\left\{ \left(\varphi + \frac{v_2}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 + |X|^2 \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\times\Big\{ & \left[1-g(\varphi^2-\chi^2)+\frac{1}{4}\,g^2(\varphi^2+\chi^2)^2\right](1-|X|^2+|X|^4) \\
& +|X|^2\Big[\,2g^2(\varphi^2+\chi^2) \\
& \qquad -(v_2+\sqrt{2}\varphi)\left\{\sqrt{2}\,g\varphi\left[\,2-g(\varphi^2-\chi^2)\,\right]-2\sqrt{2}\,g^2\varphi\chi^2\right\} \\
& \qquad +(v_2+\sqrt{2}\varphi)^2\left\{1-g(\varphi^2-\chi^2)+\frac{1}{4}\,g^2\,(\varphi^2+\chi^2)^2\right\}\Big]\Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Because of the exponential factor, φ and X rapidly goes down to $\mathcal{O}(1)$. On the other hand, χ can take a value much larger than unity without costing exponentially large potential energy. Then the scalar potential is approximated as

$$V \simeq \lambda^2\left(\frac{\chi^4}{4}+2\chi^2|X|^2\right) \tag{8}$$

with $\lambda = gv$. Thus the term proportional to χ^4 becomes dominant and chaotic inflation can take place. Then, using the slow-roll approximation, the e-fold number $\widetilde{N}$ during chaotic inflation is given by

$$\widetilde{N} \simeq \frac{\chi^2_{\widetilde{N}}}{8}. \tag{9}$$

The effective mass squared of φ, m_φ^2, during chaotic inflation becomes

$$m_\varphi^2 \simeq \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\chi^4 \simeq 6H^2 \gg \frac{9}{4}H^2, \qquad H^2 \simeq \frac{\lambda^2}{12}\chi^4, \tag{10}$$

where H is the hubble parameter at that time. Therefore φ oscillates rapidly around the minimum φ_{min} so that its amplitude damps in proportion to $a^{-3/2}$ with a being the scale factor. Here, the potential minimum for φ, φ_{min}, during chaotic inflation is given by

$$\varphi_{min} \simeq -v_2/\sqrt{2}. \tag{11}$$

Thus the initial value of the inflaton φ of second inflation (new inflation) is set dynamically during chaotic inflation.

On the other hand, the mass squared of X, m_X^2, is dominated by

$$m_X^2 \simeq 2\lambda^2\chi^2 \simeq \frac{24}{\chi^2}H^2, \tag{12}$$

which is much smaller than the hubble parameter squared until $\chi^2 \sim 24$ so that X also slow-rolls. However we can easily show that X is much smaller than unity throughout the chaotic inflation regime.

As χ becomes smaller and of order of unity, new inflation starts. The potential with $X \simeq 0$ is approximated as

$$\begin{aligned}
V(\varphi,\chi,X\simeq 0) \;\; & \simeq \;\; v^2 e^{-\frac{v_2^2}{2}}\exp\left(\varphi+\frac{v_2}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 \\
& \times\left[\left(1-\frac{g}{2}\varphi^2\right)^2+\chi^2\left(g+\frac{g^2}{2}\varphi^2+\frac{g^2}{2}\chi^2\right)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The global minima are given by $\varphi^2 = 2/g$ and $\chi = 0$. The mass squared for φ, m_φ^2, reads

$$m_\varphi^2 \simeq -(g-1) + (g + \frac{1}{2}g^2)\chi^2. \tag{14}$$

Thus new inflation begins when $\chi \simeq \chi_{crit}$ given by

$$\chi_{crit} = \frac{2}{g}\sqrt{\frac{g-1}{g+2}}. \tag{15}$$

Once new inflation begins, χ rapidly goes to zero because the effective mass squared becomes $m_\chi^2 \simeq 6gH^2 \geq 6H^2$. Then, for $\chi \simeq 0$ and $X \ll 1$, the potential is given by

$$V(\varphi, \chi \simeq 0, X \ll 1) \sim v^2 \left\{ 1 - (g-1)\widetilde{\varphi}^2 + 2(g-1)^2\widetilde{\varphi}^2|X|^2 + \cdots \right\}, \tag{16}$$

where $\widetilde{\varphi} = \varphi - \varphi_{max}$ and $\varphi_{max} \equiv v_2/[\sqrt{2}(g-1)]$. Thus if $g \geq 1$ ($\lambda \geq v$), new inflation takes place and φ rolls down slowly toward the vacuum expectation value $\eta = \sqrt{2/g}$.

Before new inflation starts, φ stays at φ_{min}, which is different from φ_{max}. Then, the initial value of $\widetilde{\varphi}$, $\widetilde{\varphi}_i$ for new inflation is given by

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_i = -\frac{v_2}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{g}{g-1}. \tag{17}$$

On the other hand, the amplitude of quantum fluctuations of φ is estimated as $\delta\varphi_q \sim v/(2\pi\sqrt{3})$. Using the fact that $g \geq 1$, we find that quantum fluctuations do not dominate the dynamics unless $v_2 \ll v$.

The total e-folding number N_{new} during new inflation is given by

$$N_{new} \simeq \frac{1}{2(g-1)} \ln \left| \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v_2}\frac{g-1}{g} \right|. \tag{18}$$

Then the total e-folding number N is given by $N = \widetilde{N} + N_{new}$. We set $N_{\rm COBE} = 60$ for simplicity, when the physical wavenumber of the mode ($k_{\rm COBE}$) corresponding to the Cosmic Background Explorer (COBE) scale exits the horizon, that is, $k_{\rm COBE}/(a_{(N=60)}H_{(N=60)}) = 1$.

In case $N_{new} \gtrsim 60$, primordial density fluctuations responsible for the observable universe are produced only during new inflation. Otherwise, chaotic inflation produces primordial fluctuations on large cosmological scales and new inflation on smaller scales. In this paper we consider only the latter case.

After new inflation, φ oscillates around the global minimum η and the universe is dominated by a coherent scalar-field oscillation of $\sigma \equiv \varphi - \eta$. Expanding the exponential factor $e^{v_2\varphi + \varphi^2}$ in e^K,

$$e^{v_2\varphi + \varphi^2} = e^{\eta^2}(1 + 2\eta\sigma + \cdots), \tag{19}$$

we find that σ has gravitationally suppressed linear interactions with all scalar and spinor fields including minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) particles. For example, let us consider the Yukawa superpotential $W = y_i D_i H S_i$ in MSSM, where D_i and S_i are doublet (singlet) superfields, H is a Higgs superfield, and y_i is a Yukawa coupling constant. Then the interaction Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm int} \sim y_i^2 \eta \sigma D_i^2 S_i^2 + \cdots, \tag{20}$$

which leads to the decay width Γ given by

$$\Gamma \sim \sum_i y_i^4 \eta^2 m_\sigma^3. \tag{21}$$

Here $m_\sigma \simeq 2\sqrt{g_R} e^{\sqrt{2/g_R}} v$ is the mass of σ. Thus the reheating temperature T_R is given by

$$T_R \sim 0.1 \bar{y} \eta m_\varphi^{3/2}, \tag{22}$$

where $\bar{y} = \sqrt{\sum_i y_i^4}$. Taking $\bar{y} \sim 1$, the reheating temperature T_R is given by

$$T_R \sim v^{3/2} \lesssim \lambda^{3/2}. \tag{23}$$

As shown later, the upper bound of λ is given by $\lambda < 1.2 \times 10^{-6}$. Hence the reheating temperature T_R is constrained as

$$T_R \lesssim 10^{-9} \sim 10^9 \text{ GeV}, \tag{24}$$

which is low enough to avoid the overproduction of gravitinos in a wide range of the gravitino mass [9].

3 Density fluctuations and PBHs formation

3.1 Density fluctuations

In this section we investigate primordial density fluctuations produced by this double inflation model. First of all we consider density fluctuations produced during chaotic inflation. As shown in the previous section, there are two effectively massless fields, χ and X, during chaotic inflation. However it is easily shown that only χ contributes to growing adiabatic fluctuations [10]. Then, with the fact that $X \ll 1$, the amplitude of curvature perturbation Φ_A on the comoving horizon scale at $\chi = \chi_{\widetilde{N}}$ is given by the standard one-field formula and reads

$$\Phi_A(\widetilde{N}) \simeq \frac{f}{2\sqrt{3}\pi} \frac{\lambda \chi_{\widetilde{N}}^3}{8}, \tag{25}$$

where $f = 3/5$ $(2/3)$ in the matter (radiation) domination. If $N_{new} \lesssim 60$, the comoving scale corresponding to the COBE scale exits the horizon during chaotic inflation. Defining $\widetilde{N}_{\rm COBE}$ as the e-folding number during chaotic inflation, corresponding to the COBE scale, the COBE normalization requires $\Phi_A(\widetilde{N}_{\rm COBE}) \simeq 3 \times 10^{-5}$ [11]. Then the scale λ is given by

$$\lambda \simeq 4.2 \times 10^{-3} \chi_{\widetilde{N}_{\rm COBE}}^{-3}. \tag{26}$$

The spectral index n_s is given by

$$n_s \simeq 1 - \frac{3}{\widetilde{N}_{\rm COBE}}. \tag{27}$$

Since the COBE data shows $n_s = 1.0 \pm 0.2$ [11], $\widetilde{N}_{\rm COBE} \geq 15$, which leads to $\lambda < 1.2 \times 10^{-6}$.

Next let us discuss density fluctuations produced during new inflation. In this case also, both $\widetilde{\varphi}$ and X are effectively massless fields. However, as with the case of chaotic inflation, it is easily shown that only $\widetilde{\varphi}$ contributes to growing adiabatic fluctuations. Then, with the fact that $X \ll 1$, the amplitude of curvature perturbation Φ_A on the comoving horizon scale at $\widetilde{\varphi} = \widetilde{\varphi}_N$ is given by

$$\Phi_A(N) \simeq \frac{f}{2\sqrt{3}\pi} \frac{v}{2(g-1)\widetilde{\varphi}_N}. \tag{28}$$

The spectral index n_s of the density fluctuations is given by

$$n_s \simeq 1 - 4(g-1). \tag{29}$$

You should also notice that $\widetilde{\varphi} \sim v_2$ at the beginning of new inflation. Then, since $v \sim v_2$, the amplitude of curvature perturbation Φ_A can become as large as the order unity, which may lead to PBHs formation.

3.2 Primordial black holes formation

PBHs have been paid renewed attention to because they may explain the existence of massive compact halo objects (MACHOs) [1] and become a part of cold dark matter. Furthermore, PBHs are responsible for antiproton fluxes observed by the BESS experiments [2] or short gamma ray bursts [3].

Carr and Hawking first discussed PBHs formation and showed that in the radiation dominated universe, a black hole is formed soon after the perturbed region reenters the horizon if the amplitude of density fluctuations δ lies in the range $1/3 \leq \delta \leq 1$ [12]. Then, the mass of produced PBHs M_{BH} is roughly given by the horizon mass,

$$M_{BH} \simeq \frac{4\sqrt{3}\pi}{\sqrt{\rho}} \simeq 0.066 M_{\odot} \left(\frac{T}{\rm GeV}\right)^{-2}, \tag{30}$$

where ρ and T are the total energy density and the temperature of the universe at formation. The horizon scale at formation is related to the present cosmological scale L by

$$\begin{aligned} L &\simeq \frac{a(T_0)}{a(T)} H^{-1}(T), \\ &\simeq 6.4 \times 10^{-8}\mathrm{Mpc} \left(\frac{T}{\mathrm{GeV}}\right)^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

with $T_0 \simeq 2.7$ K the present temperature of the universe. The corresponding comoving wave number $k = 2\pi/L$ is given by

$$k \simeq 1.0 \times 10^8 \ \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1} \left(\frac{T}{\mathrm{GeV}}\right). \tag{32}$$

Assuming Gaussian fluctuations, the mass fraction of produced PBHs ($\beta \equiv \rho_{BH}/\rho$) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(M) &= \int_{1/3}^{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma(M)} \exp\left(-\frac{\delta^2}{2\sigma^2(M)}\right) d\delta, \\ &\simeq \sigma(M) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{18\sigma^2(M)}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where $\sigma(M)$ is the root mean square of mass variance evaluated at horizon crossing.

Using the mass fraction β at formation, the ratio of the present energy density $\rho_{BH}(M)$ of PBHs with the mass M and the entropy density is given by

$$\frac{\rho_{BH}(M)}{s} \simeq \frac{3}{4}\beta(M)T, \tag{34}$$

which yields the normalized energy density,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{BH} h^{-2} &\simeq 2.1 \times 10^8 \beta \left(\frac{T}{\mathrm{GeV}}\right) \\ &\simeq 5.4 \times 10^7 \beta \left(\frac{M}{M_\odot}\right)^{-1/2} \\ &\simeq 2.1\beta \left(\frac{k}{\mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Then, MACHO PBHs with mass $\sim 0.1 M_\odot$ are produced at the temperature given by

$$T \simeq 0.81 \ \mathrm{GeV}, \tag{36}$$

which corresponds to

$$\begin{aligned} L &\simeq 7.9 \times 10^{-8}\ \mathrm{Mpc}, \\ k &\simeq 8.1 \times 10^{7}\ \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

As easily seen from Eq. (28), the fluctuations with the largest amplitude are produced at the onset of new inflation, which we identify with the formation time of PBHs. The spectrum is so steep that the formation of the PBHs with smaller masses is suppressed strongly. The present energy density $\Omega_{BH} h^{-2} \sim 0.25$ is explained if the mass fraction is given by

$$\beta \simeq 1.5 \times 10^{-9}, \tag{38}$$

which implies the mass variance $\sigma \simeq 0.056$ under the Gaussian approximation, corresponding to

$$\Phi_A \sim 0.04. \tag{39}$$

Taking into account Eqs. (37), (39), and the COBE normalization (26), MACHO PBHs are produced in this model if we take the parameters given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &\sim 1.3 \times 10^{-6}, \\ v &\sim 1.1 \times 10^{-6}, \\ v_2 &\sim 0.93 \times 10^{-6}, \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

with $g = \lambda / v \simeq 1.2$.

Note that all parameters are of the same order. Also, the temperatures at formation are lower than the reheating temperature so our assumption that PBHs are formed in the radiation dominated universe is justified.

4 Discussion and conclusions

In this talk, we have proposed a natural double inflation model in SUGRA. By virtue of the shift symmetry, chaotic inflation can take place, during which the initial value of new inflation is set. The initial value of new inflation is adequately far from the local maximum of the potential so that primordial fluctuations within the present horizon scale are attributed to both inflations. That is, fluctuations responsible for the anisotropy of the CMB and the large scale structure are produced during chaotic inflation, while fluctuations on smaller scale are produced during new inflation. Due to the peculiar nature of new inflation, fluctuations on smaller scale are as large as of the order of unity, which may lead to PBHs formation. As an example, we consider MACHO PBHs. We find that if we take reasonable values of parameters, such PBHs are produced in our double inflation model.

	Φ	X	Ξ	Π
Q_R	0	2	0	0
Z_2	$-$	$-$	$-$	$-$

Table 1: The charges of various supermultiplets of $\mathrm{U}(1)_R \times Z_2$.

References

[1] C. R. Alcock *et al.*, Nature (London) **365**, 621 (1993); Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2867 (1995); Astrophys. J. **486**, 697 (1997);
E. Aubourg *et al.*, Nature (London) **365**, 623 (1993); Astron. Astrophys. **301**, 1 (1995).

[2] K. Yoshimura *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3792 (1995).

[3] See, for example, D. B. Cline, C. Matthey, and S. Otwinowski, in *Proceedings of the 14th IAP Colloquium* (Frontieres, Paris, 1998), p. 374.

[4] M. Yamaguchi, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 063502 (2001); **64**, 063503 (2001).

[5] M. Kawasaki, M. Yamaguchi, and T. Yanagida, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3572 (2000); Phys. Rev. D **63**, 103514 (2001).

[6] M. Yamaguchi and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 043506 (2001).

[7] A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B **175**, 395 (1986); Mod. Phys. Lett. A **1**, 81 (1986).

[8] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D **27**, 2848 (1983).

[9] M. Yu. Khlopov and A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. **138B**, 265 (1984);
J. Ellis, G. B. Gelmini, J. L. Lopez, D. V. Nanopoulos, and S. Sarker, Nucl. Phys. **B373**, 92 (1999);
M. Kawasaki and T. Moroi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **93**, 879 (1995).

[10] D. Polarski and A. A. Starobinsky, Nucl. Phys. **B385**, 623 (1992); Phys. Rev. D **50**, 6123 (1994); A. A. Starobinsky and J. Yokoyama, in *Proceedings of the Fourth Workshop on General Relativity and Gravitation*, edited by K. Nakao *et al.* (Kyoto University, Kyoto, 1994), p. 381.

[11] C. L. Bennett *et al.*, Astrophys. J. Lett. **464**, L1 (1996).

[12] B. J. Carr and S. W. Hawking, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **168**, 399 (1974); B. J. Carr, Astrophys. J. **201**, 1 (1975).